| 1 | | |
|----|---|---------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 9 | AT TACOMA | |
| 10 | MICHAEL VASILIY KOLESNIK, | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | CASE NO. C12-5278 BHS-JRC |
| 12 | v. | ORDER |
| 13 | ELDON VAIL et al., | |
| 14 | Defendants. | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States | |
| 17 | Magistrate Judge, J. Richard Creatura. The authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1) (A) | |
| 18 | and (B), and local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4. | |
| 19 | Plaintiff has sent two letters to the Court regarding service of process on Eldon Vail (ECF | |
| 20 | No. 19 and 20). If plaintiff wishes the Court to take any action he must file a motion explaining | |
| 21 | what action he wishes the Court to take. Plaintiff's motion must be properly served on opposing | |
| 22 | counsel and the motion must be properly noted on the Court's hearing calendar. See, Local Rule | |
| 23 | 7. The Court does not conduct business by letter. The Clerk's Office does not note letters on the | |
| 24 | Court's hearing calendar. Thus, letters may not come to the Court's attention in a timely manner. | |

Plaintiff asks about service of process on Eldon Vail in both his letters (ECF No. 19 and 20). Mr. Vail did not accept service by mail; however, Mr. Vail appeared in the action by filing and answer and he is represented by Assistant Attorney General Jean Elizabeth Meyn (ECF No. 17). Thus, Mr. Vail is properly a party to this action. Dated this 5th day of July, 2012. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge